# It is Important to Understand these Additional Requirements Knowledge

The improvement exchange is a powerful strategy that enables an investor to improve a replacement property. Although this provides tremendous flexibility and opens up a vast array of potential replacement property options, a couple of additional requirements must be followed to obtain full tax deferral.

### **IDENTIFICATION OF PROPERTY TO BE PRODUCED**

The Regulations state the following regarding identifying a replacement property: "...a legal description is provided for the underlying land and as much detail is provided regarding construction of the improvements as is practicable at the time identification is made." This means the taxpayer should identify not only the property being improved but also specify the exact improvements to be made to this property. Often this is accomplished by identifying the parcel of land and providing a copy of the blueprints or construction drawings. The IRS does allow for running construction changes, such as relocating an interior wall by a couple of feet. Nevertheless, it is critical the property received by the taxpayer is substantially the same as the property identified.

## RECEIPT OF PROPERTY TO BE PRODUCED

To qualify for a "safe harbor" improvement exchange (within the 180-day maximum exchange period) the taxpayer must actually receive like-kind real property, not services to be produced. Exchange proceeds that are not reflected in actual improvements to real property within the 180-day exchange period are considered boot since production services to be built in the future are not like-kind real property.

### REPAIR VS. IMPROVEMENT

Some taxpayers want to know what fix up cost are considered a "repair" versus an "improvement" because a repair is deductible in the current tax year, whereas an improvement is amortized and depreciated over the life of the property.

Compliments of:




HQ 800.282.1031 | NY 866.394.1031 apiexchange.com | info@apiexchange.com

Asset Preservation, Inc. (API) is a qualified intermediary as defined in the regulations under Internal Revenue Code §1031. Neither API, it's officers or employees are authorized or permitted under applicable laws to provide tax or legal advice to any client or prospective client of API. The tax related information contained herein or in any other communication that you may have with a representative of API should not be construed as tax or legal advice specific to your situation and should not be relied upon in making any business, legal or tax related decision. A proper evaluation of the benefits and risks associated with a particular transaction or tax return position often requires advice from a competent tax and/or legal advisor familiar with your specific transaction, objectives and the relevant facts. We strongly urge you to involve your tax and/or legal advisor (or to seek such advice) in any significant real estate or business related transaction. © 2021 Asset Preservation, Inc. All rights reserved.

# **Improvement Exchanges**

It is Important to Understand these Additional Requirements

1031 Knowledge



REPAIR: A repair keeps the property in good operating condition. It does not materially add to the value of the property or substantially prolong its life.

IMPROVEMENT: An improvement adds to the value of property, prolongs its useful life, or adapts to new uses.

The two key issues to examine are whether the property's useful life is appreciably pro-longed or whether the value of the property has materially increased as a result of the expenditure. If neither of these conditions are present, and if the expenditure only restores the property or keeps it in an efficient operating condition, it is generally considered maintenance or a repair expense and should be deductible. The Tax Court has ruled that the proper test is to compare the value after the repair has been completed with the value prior to the existence of the condition necessitating the repairs, and not with the value immediately prior to making of the repair. See Plainfield Union Water Co. v. Commissioner, 39 TC 333 (1962).

Compliments of:



HQ 800.282.1031 | NY 866.394.1031 apiexchange.com | info@apiexchange.com